

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	DI DIO DAMO	FIRST MANCE BUILDING	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,307	06/26/2003	Jay McDonough	OC0215US	8038
27975 75	590 12/14/2005	EXAMINER		
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A.			MCPHERSON, JOHN A	
	1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE P.O. BOX 3791			PAPER NUMBER
ORLANDO, F	L 32802-3791		1756	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 0		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/609,307	MCDONOUGH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	John A. McPherson	1756			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	·	action is non-final.	·			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
-/	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	·				
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Pana .					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-25}$ are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	•			
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
· ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	t(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Page 2

- Claims 1-14, drawn to a polarization conversion system comprising a
  polarizing beam splitter and a patterned optical retarder, classified in class
  359, subclass 495.
- II. Claims 15-21 and 23-25, drawn to a method of fabricating a patterned retarder plate, classified in class 430, subclass 321.
- III. Claim 22, drawn to a patterned retarder plate, classified in class 359, subclass 500.

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as by fabricating the patterned retarder plate on a substrate, and then transferring the patterned retarder plate to the polarizing beam splitter.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/609,307

Art Unit: 1756

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require that the patterned retarder plate comprises a second portion of layer of base material having a polarization direction rotated forty-five degrees from a first polarization direction. The subcombination has separate utility such as a birefringent filter.

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as by cutting and placing.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. McPherson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on (571) 272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John A. McPherson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

JAM 12/2/05